UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,445	11/26/2003	Prabhu Krishnamoorthy	543.011US1	5969
	7590	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2938	, in the second	BITAR, NANCY		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/723,44	5	KRISHNAMOORTHY ET AL.				
Office A	Examiner		Art Unit					
		NANCY B	TAR	2624				
The MAILING Period for Reply	G DATE of this communicat	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress			
WHICHEVER IS LC - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fr - If NO period for reply is s - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	CATUTORY PERIOD FOR DNGER, FROM THE MAIL e available under the provisions of 37 om the mailing date of this communical pecified above, the maximum statutor set or extended period for reply will, to Office later than three months after the timent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ation. y period will apply and wi by statute, cause the appl	IS COMMUNICATIO int, however, may a reply be tind I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to	o communication(s) filed o	n <i>22 May 200</i> 6						
2a) This action is	_	☐ This action is n	on-final.					
′ =	/-			osecution as to the	e merits is			
·—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		,	.,					
·	is/are pending in the appli	ication						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	_ is/are objected to.	and/or alastian race	uiromont					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u>	are subject to restriction a	ind/or election req	uirement.					
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specificat	ion is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.						
10)☐ The drawing(s	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement o	rawing sheet(s) including the	correction is require	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/723,445 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-21, drawn to "tubular data segmentation", classified in class
 382, subclass 128.
- II. Claims 22-27, drawn to "initializing a wavelike front and a propagation speed ", classified in class 600, subclass 443.
- III. Claims 28-43, drawn to "displaying the lateral views, the cross sections in visual correspondence", classified in class 345, subclass 419.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and (II and III) are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed. The subcombination II has separate utility such as vessel tracking for obtaining CVA by initializing wave —like front in order to increase computational efficiency. And subcombination III has separate utility such as enhancing visualization and quantification for better diagnosis .See MPEP § 806.05(d). The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombinations inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims

Application/Control Number: 10/723,445 Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
 - (a) The inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
 - (b) The inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
 - (c) The inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
 - (d) The prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
 - (e) The inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C.101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NANCY BITAR whose

Application/Control Number: 10/723,445 Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

telephone number is (571)270-1041. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (7:30a.m. to 5:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jinge Wu can be reached on 571-272-7429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jingge Wu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624

Nancy Bitar 08/02/2008